



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,593	02/15/2001	Scott James Bennett	AUS920010006US1	5662

35525 7590 05/17/2004

DUKE W. YEE
CARSTENS, YEE & CAHOON, L.L.P.
P.O. BOX 802334
DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

GOLINKOFF, JORDAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2174

6

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/784,593	BENNETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan S Golinkoff	2174	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan S Golinkoff.

(2) Stephen J. Walder, Jr.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2004

Time: 4:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant faxed a preliminary draft of proposed amendment to examiner.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 21, 31-33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kristine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner recommended changing the claim language of above claims to more accurately claim the invention as described in the specification. Specifically, examiner suggested clarifying the relationship between the window manager proxy and the java desktop in claims 1, 11, and 21. For claims 31-33, examiner recommended amending claims to be similar in scope to amended claims 1, 11, and 21. Examiner reviewed a preliminary draft of the proposed amendment and this draft appeared to place this application in a condition for allowance.